## Calendar Year 2018

# Coordinated Assessments Predicted Data Additions 

as of September 17, 2018

## Executive Summary

Coordinated Assessments (CA) is an effort to provide population-scale high level indicators (HLIs) for salmon and steelhead populations in the Columbia River basin. The HLIs included are: 1) estimates of population size at the parr, smolt, and spawner life stages; 2) smolt to adult return rates; 3) recruits per spawner; and 4) proportionate natural influence of integrated natural/hatchery populations. Personnel associated with the BPA-funded StreamNet project are responsible for gathering and compiling these data, and making them available via the StreamNet web site. In addition to the population-scale HLI data, indexes of abundance related to the various populations ("related data" or "trends") are also gathered and made available on the web site.

For each data type, the StreamNet partner organizations were asked to identify the salmon and steelhead population in the Columbia River basin for which they expected to add data in calendar year 2018. The Yakama Nation and Nez Perce Tribe were also asked to provide these predictions. In cases where a data type for a population would not be provided in 2018, they were asked to identify whether such data could be provided for that population given sufficient resources, or if instead it is simply impossible to calculate that type of data for that specific population. A summary of their responses is presented here.

The predictions provided here are as anticipated on September 17, 2018, and are displayed as the number of populations for which data are expected (or not) to be provided to the central CA database at PSMFC (and managed by the StreamNet project). In cases where more than one organization indicated they would provide data for the same population, that population is included only once in these predictions. For each data type, two numbers are provided: estimated data additions for all populations; and estimates only for the 69 Tier-I / Tier-II (high priority) populations identified by the Bonneville Power Administration. For context, the number of populations with existing data as of the end of 2017 are also provided; however, this report is meant as a summary rather than an in-depth analysis, so no attempt is made to identify or explain differences between existing and predicted data.

## Executive Summary (Continued)

The graphs and tables of predicted data additions do not identify which populations are included. Maps are included to assist with this.

This is the third iteration of this survey. In 2016 there were 213 TRT populations listed, 201 TRT populations were listed in the 2017 survey, and 225 populations (including component populations of superpopulations) were listed in the 2018 Survey. These changes are due to NOAA population revisions, elimination (or inclusion) of extirpated/extinct populations (varies by survey), and changes in interpretation and reporting.

When states and tribes were asked to evaluate and predict CA data flow, follow up conversations elicited the following responses about why populations have fallen into the "no" (calculation theoretically possible but unable to provide), or " $X$ " categories (cannot calculate).

State agencies have reported that they never intended to obtain or provide data on all of the populations. In addition, a lack of resources that impacts reporting has been a common and continuing theme. Tribes have stated previously that they did not intend to consider sharing data with CAX until they have the potential to do it electronically. The Tribal Data Project appears to be making excellent progress on that front. BPA has previously reported that if it's not possible to calculate indicators at the population level for BiOp purposes then they may not wish to fund the monitoring. However, in response there has been some discussion about the fact that from a management perspective, if the population is important, yet it's impossible to calculate the indicator, BPA should be cautious using survey results as justification for cutting funding from projects, as their data may be the best available. It is also important to note that many of the people we asked for more information are not funded by StreamNet or BPA, and we asked for time and effort that may not be a priority for them. Also to be noted is that there is substantial data flow for populations not listed as a "priority" by BPA.

## Asked states and tribes to qualitatively

 evaluate CA data additions for all extant populations in the Columbia basin and report as follows:Yes = We will provide data in calendar year 2018.
No = Indicator calculation for this population is at least theoretically possible; however, we will be unable to provide data in 2018.
$\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{It}$ is not possible to calculate this indicator for this population.

## Populations Included

To be included in the following slides, a population must:

- be in the Columbia Basin
- not be extirpated
- not be a superpopulation
- component populations of superpopulation ARE included

When $>1$ organization plans to submit data for a population, the information was pooled.

## 2018 Predicted Coordinated Assessments Data Additions

Abundance estimates \& indexes of abundance

| Natural Origin Spawner Abundance |  |  |  |  | Juvenile Outmigrants |  |  |  |  | Presmolt Abundance |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agency | Yes | No | $\underline{\text { X }}$ | Total | Agency | Yes | No | $\underline{\text { x }}$ | Total | Agency | Yes | No | $\underline{\text { x }}$ | Total |
| All | 50 | 10 | 9 | 69 | All | 25 | 24 | 20 | 69 | All | 7 | 24 | 38 | 69 |
| \% | 72 | 14 | 13 | 100 | \% | 36 | 35 | 29 | 100 | \% | 10 | 35 | 55 | 100 |
| Related Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Agency | $\underline{\text { Yes }}$ | $\underline{N o}$ | $\underline{X}$ | Total |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All | 40 | 20 | 9 | 69 |
| $\%$ | 58 | 29 | 13 | 100 |

Rates \& proportions

| Smolt to Adult Return Rate |  |  |  |  | Recruits per Spawner |  |  |  |  | PNI |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agency | Yes | No | $\underline{\text { x }}$ | Total | Agency | Yes | No | $\underline{\text { x }}$ | Total | Agency | Yes | No | $\underline{\text { x }}$ | Total |
| All | 14 | 31 | 24 | 69 | All | 35 | 22 | 12 | 69 | All | 6 | 29 | 34 | 69 |
| \% | 20 | 45 | 35 | 100 | \% | 51 | 32 | 17 | 100 | \% | 9 | 42 | 49 | 100 |

69 populations including component populations of superpopulations.
Excludes extirpated populations and superpopulations.

## 2018 Predicted Coordinated Assessments Data Additions

Abundance estimates \& indexes of abundance

| Natural Origin Spawner Abundance |  |  |  |  | Juvenile Outmigrants |  |  |  |  | Presmolt Abundance |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agency | Yes | No | $\underline{\mathrm{X}}$ | Total | Agency | Yes | No | $\underline{\mathrm{X}}$ | Total | Agency | Yes | No | $\underline{\text { X }}$ | Total |
| All | 138 | 42 | 45 | 225 | All | 40 | 55 | 130 | 225 | All | 7 | 52 | 166 | 225 |
| \% | 61 | 19 | 20 | 100 | \% | 18 | 24 | 58 | 100 | \% | 3 | 23 | 74 | 100 |


| Agency | $\underline{\text { Yes }}$ | $\underline{N o}$ | $\underline{X}$ | $\underline{\text { Total }}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All | 123 | 61 | 41 | 225 |
| $\%$ | 55 | 27 | 18 | 100 |

Rates \& proportions

| Smolt to Adult Return Rate |  |  |  |  | Recruits per Spawner |  |  |  |  | PNI |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agency | Yes | No | $\underline{\text { X }}$ | Total | Agency | Yes | No | $\underline{\mathbf{x}}$ | Total | Agency | Yes | No | $\underline{\text { x }}$ | Total |
| All | 21 | 61 | 143 | 225 | All | 60 | 72 | 93 | 225 | All | 8 | 56 | 161 | 225 |
| \% | 9 | 27 | 64 | 100 | \% | 27 | 32 | 41 | 100 | \% | 4 | 25 | 72 | 100 |

[^0]Excludes extirpated populations and superpopulations.

## Predicted in 2018 vs. Actual Data at End of 2017



## Predicted in 2018 vs. Actual Data at End of 2017



# Maps of 2018 Predictions by Data Type: BPA Priority Populations 



## Coordinated Assessments




## Coordinated Assessments

## FY18 Data Flow Predictions

Yes in 2018
Not expected in 2018
Indicator is not possible to calculate
*This map series identifies the predicted This map series identifies the predicted indicator data to be submitted by project partners in FY 2018 based on survey results from September 2018. Results are limited to extant populations that have been identified as
Tier 1 or 2 priorities by BPA. Tier 1 or 2 priorities by BPA.


# Reporting by Data Type: BPA Priority Populations 

## NOSA



Yes = We can calculate this indicator and will be providing data in 2018.
No = Indicator calculation for this population is at least theoretically possible, however we will be unable to provide data in 2018.
$\mathbf{X}=\mathrm{It}$ is not possible to calculate this indicator for this population.

| Data type | Title | Yes | No | X | Total |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NOSA | Number | 50 | 10 | 9 | 69 |
|  | Percent | 72 | 14 | 13 | 99 |

## Juvenile Outmigrants



Yes = We can calculate this indicator and will be providing data in 2018.
No = Indicator calculation for this population is at least theoretically possible, however we will be unable to provide data in 2018.
$\mathbf{X}=\mathrm{It}$ is not possible to calculate this indicator for this population.

| Data type | Title | Yes | No | X | Total |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Juvenile Outmigrants | Number | 25 | 24 | 20 | 69 |
|  | Percent | 36 | 35 | 29 | 100 |

## Presmolt Abundance



Yes = We can calculate this indicator and will be providing data in 2018.
No = Indicator calculation for this population is at least theoretically possible, however we will be unable to provide data in 2018.
$\mathbf{X}=\mathrm{It}$ is not possible to calculate this indicator for this population.

| Data type | Title | Yes | No | X | Total |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Presmolt Abundance | Number | 7 | 24 | 38 | 69 |
|  | Percent | 10 | 35 | 55 | 100 |

## Related Data (Trends)



Yes = We can calculate this indicator and will be providing data in 2018.
No = Indicator calculation for this population is at least theoretically possible, however we will be unable to provide data in 2018.
$\mathbf{X}=\mathrm{It}$ is not possible to calculate this indicator for this population.

| Data type | Title | Yes | No | X | Total |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Related Data | Number | 40 | 20 | 9 | 69 |
|  | Percent | 58 | 29 | 13 | 100 |

## Smolt to Adult Return Rate



Yes = We can calculate this indicator and will be providing data in 2018.
No = Indicator calculation for this population is at least theoretically possible, however we will be unable to provide data in 2018.
$\mathbf{X}=\mathrm{It}$ is not possible to calculate this indicator for this population.

| Data type | Title | Yes | No | X | Total |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Smolt to Adult Return Rate | Number | 14 | 31 | 24 | 69 |
|  | Percent | 20 | 45 | 35 | 100 |

## Recruits per Spawner (R/S)



Yes = We can calculate this indicator and will be providing data in 2018.
No = Indicator calculation for this population is at least theoretically possible, however we will be unable to provide data in 2018.
$\mathbf{X}=\mathrm{It}$ is not possible to calculate this indicator for this population.

| Data type | Title | Yes | No | X | Total |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Recruits Per Spawner | Number | 35 | 22 | 12 | 69 |
|  | Percent | 51 | 32 | 17 | 100 |

## Prop. Natural Influence (PNI)



Yes = We can calculate this indicator and will be providing data in 2018.
No = Indicator calculation for this population is at least theoretically possible, however we will be unable to provide data in 2018.
$\mathbf{X}=$ It is not possible to calculate this indicator for this population.

| Data type | Title | Yes | No | X | Total |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| PNI | Number | 6 | 29 | 34 | 69 |
|  | Percent | 9 | 42 | 49 | 100 |

## Reporting by Data Type: All Populations

## NOSA



Yes = We can calculate this indicator and will be providing data in 2018.
No = Indicator calculation for this population is at least theoretically possible, however we will be unable to provide data in 2018.
$\mathbf{X}=\mathrm{It}$ is not possible to calculate this indicator for this population.

| Data type | Title | Yes | No | X | Total |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NOSA | Number | 138 | 42 | 45 | 225 |
|  | Percent | 61 | 19 | 20 | 100 |

## Juvenile Outmigrants



Yes = We can calculate this indicator and will be providing data in 2018.
No = Indicator calculation for this population is at least theoretically possible, however we will be unable to provide data in 2018.
$\mathbf{X}=\mathrm{It}$ is not possible to calculate this indicator for this population.

| Data type | Title | Yes | No | X | Total |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Juvenile Outmigrants | Number | 40 | 55 | 130 | 225 |
|  | Percent | 18 | 24 | 58 | 100 |

## Presmolt Abundance



Yes = We can calculate this indicator and will be providing data in 2018.
No = Indicator calculation for this population is at least theoretically possible, however we will be unable to provide data in 2018.
$\mathbf{X}=\mathrm{It}$ is not possible to calculate this indicator for this population.

| Data type | Title | Yes | No | X | Total |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Presmolt Abundance | Number | 7 | 52 | 166 | 225 |
|  | Percent | 3 | 23 | 74 | 100 |

## Related Data (Trends)



Yes = We can calculate this indicator and will be providing data in 2018.
No = Indicator calculation for this population is at least theoretically possible, however we will be unable to provide data in 2018.
$\mathbf{X}=\mathrm{It}$ is not possible to calculate this indicator for this population.

| Data type | Title | Yes | No | X | Total |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Related Data | Number | 123 | 61 | 41 | 225 |
|  | Percent | 55 | 27 | 18 | 100 |

## Smolt to Adult Return Rate



Yes = We can calculate this indicator and will be providing data in 2018.
No = Indicator calculation for this population is at least theoretically possible, however we will be unable to provide data in 2018.
$\mathbf{X}=\mathrm{It}$ is not possible to calculate this indicator for this population.

| Data type | Title | Yes | No | X | Total |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Smolt to Adult Return Rate | Number | 21 | 61 | 143 | 225 |
|  | Percent | 9 | 27 | 64 | 100 |

## Recruits per Spawner (R/S)



Yes = We can calculate this indicator and will be providing data in 2018.
No = Indicator calculation for this population is at least theoretically possible, however we will be unable to provide data in 2018.
$\mathbf{X}=$ It is not possible to calculate this indicator for this population.

| Data type | Title | Yes | No | X | Total |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Recruits Per Spawner | Number | 60 | 72 | 93 | 225 |
|  | Percent | 27 | 32 | 41 | 100 |

## Prop. Natural Influence (PNI)



Yes = We can calculate this indicator and will be providing data in 2018.
No = Indicator calculation for this population is at least theoretically possible, however we will be unable to provide data in 2018.
$X=$ It is not possible to calculate this indicator for this population.

| Data type | Title | Yes | No | X | Total |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| PNI | Number | 8 | 56 | 161 | 225 |
|  | Percent | 4 | 25 | 72 | 100 |


[^0]:    225 populations including component populations of superpopulations.

